Note further that when the {absolute value} of $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k \star p}(\omega)\right\} \simeq 1$, the k^{th} component of a polyphonic complex sound field $\tilde{S}(\vec{r},t;\omega)$ is <u>fully-coherent</u>, and is one that is associated with <u>propagating</u> sound radiation, *e.g.* when a listener's position is far from a point sound source, in the so-called <u>far-field</u> region of a sound source, $r \gg \lambda$.

However, when the {absolute value} of $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k \star p}(\omega)\right\} \simeq 1$ the k^{th} component of a polyphonic complex sound field $\tilde{S}(\vec{r},t;\omega)$ is {also} <u>fully-coherent</u>, but is instead associated with <u>non-propagating</u> sound radiation – *i.e.* acoustic energy that is simply sloshing back and forth locally at the listener's point *r* during each cycle of oscillation, *e.g.* in the so-called <u>near-field</u> region of a sound source, $r \ll \lambda$.

Thus, *e.g.* simultaneously exciting the 3 acoustic standing waves associated with the [1,0,0]/[0,1,0]/[0,0,1] axial modes of a cubical 3-D enclosure of side $d = \lambda/2$, with 3-fold degenerate modal frequency $f_{res} \equiv f_{100} = f_{010} = f_{001} = c/2d$, we see that $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k \star p}\left(\omega_{res}\right)\right\} \simeq 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\left\{\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k \star p}\left(\omega_{res}\right)\right\} \simeq 1$ for each of the k = x, y, z components of this complex sound field.

We can additionally define the corresponding k = x, y, z vector components of the <u>magnitude</u>-<u>squared</u> version of the <u>frequency-domain sound field coherence function</u> $\left|\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p}(\omega)\right|^2 \{n.b. \text{ a purely } \underline{real} \text{ quantity}\}$, as:

$$\left|\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p}\left(\omega\right)\right|^{2} \equiv \vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p}\left(\omega\right) \cdot \vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p}^{*}\left(\omega\right) = \frac{\left|\vec{\tilde{S}}_{u\star p}\left(\omega\right)\right|^{2}}{\tilde{S}_{p\star p}\left(\omega\right) \cdot \tilde{S}_{u_{k}\star u_{k}}\left(\omega\right)} = \frac{\left|\vec{\tilde{G}}_{u\star p}\left(\omega\right)\right|^{2}}{\tilde{G}_{p\star p}\left(\omega\right) \cdot \tilde{G}_{u_{k}\star u_{k}}\left(\omega\right)}$$

 $\left\| \vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p} \left(\omega \right) \right\|^{2} = \left| \vec{\gamma}_{u_{x}\star p} \left(\omega \right) \right|^{2} + \left| \vec{\gamma}_{u_{y}\star p} \left(\omega \right) \right|^{2} + \left| \vec{\gamma}_{u_{y}\star p} \left(\omega \right) \right|^{2}$

where:

The individual k = x, y, z components of the <u>frequency-domain</u> the <u>magnitude-squared</u> coherence function $\left|\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u\star p}(\omega)\right|^2$ can range from $0 \le \left|\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k\star p}(\omega)\right|^2 \le 1$. When $\left|\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k\star p}(\omega)\right|^2 \approx 1$, a polyphonic complex sound field $\tilde{S}(\vec{r},t;\omega)$ is <u>fully-coherent</u> (e.g. at a listener's position some distance away from a single sound source), whereas when $\left|\tilde{\gamma}_{u_k\star p}(\omega)\right|^2 \approx 0$, the polyphonic complex sound field is <u>completely incoherent</u> (e.g. at a listener's position deep inside the <u>reverberant</u> portion of a polyphonic complex sound field $\tilde{S}(\vec{r},t;\omega)$ associated with a large listening room and/or auditorium, concert hall, etc.).

It can also be seen from the above discussion(s) that the complex 3-D vector coherence function $\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u_k \star p}(\omega)$ contains more information (real and imaginary components) and is thus more useful than its purely-real, magnitude-squared version $|\vec{\tilde{\gamma}}_{u \star p}(\omega)|^2$.