

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u}_{tot}(\vec{r}, t) &= \frac{\tilde{B}}{z_o} \left\{ \frac{1}{r_1} \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{kr_1} \right] e^{-ikr_1} \hat{r}_1 - \frac{1}{r_2} \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{kr_2} \right] e^{-ikr_2} \hat{r}_2 \right\} \cdot e^{i\omega t} \quad \text{using: } \tilde{B} = i \frac{\rho_o \omega}{4\pi} \tilde{Q}_a \quad \text{and: } z_o = \rho_o c \\ &= i \frac{\omega \tilde{Q}_a}{4\pi c} \left\{ \frac{1}{r_1} \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{kr_1} \right] e^{-ikr_1} \hat{r}_1 - \frac{1}{r_2} \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{kr_2} \right] e^{-ikr_2} \hat{r}_2 \right\} \cdot e^{i\omega t} = \vec{u}_{tot}(\vec{r}, \omega) e^{i\omega t}\end{aligned}$$

The acoustic monopole sources, of source strength/volume velocity  $\pm \tilde{Q}_a$  are located at  $\vec{d}_1 = +d\hat{z}$  and  $\vec{d}_2 = -d\hat{z}$  with  $|\vec{d}_1| = |\vec{d}_2| = d$ . Vectorially, we see that  $\vec{r} = \vec{d}_1 + \vec{r}_1$  and also that  $\vec{r} = \vec{d}_2 + \vec{r}_2$ , with  $\vec{r} = r\hat{r}$  and  $|\vec{r}| = r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}$ . In Cartesian coordinates  $\hat{r} = \sin\theta \cos\phi \hat{x} + \sin\theta \sin\phi \hat{y} + \cos\theta \hat{z}$ . We also see that:  $\vec{r}_1 = \vec{r} - \vec{d}_1$  and  $\vec{r}_2 = \vec{r} - \vec{d}_2$ . Using the law of cosines  $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos\theta$ :  $|\vec{r}_1| = r_1 = \sqrt{r^2 + d^2 - 2rd \cos\theta}$  and  $|\vec{r}_2| = r_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + d^2 - 2rd \cos(\pi - \theta)} = \sqrt{r^2 + d^2 + 2rd \cos\theta}$ , with  $\vec{r}_1 = |\vec{r}_1| \hat{r}_1 = r_1 \hat{r}_1 = \vec{r} - \vec{d}_1 = r\hat{r} - d\hat{z}$  and  $\vec{r}_2 = |\vec{r}_2| \hat{r}_2 = r_2 \hat{r}_2 = \vec{r} - \vec{d}_2 = r\hat{r} + d\hat{z}$  with  $\hat{r}_1 = (r\hat{r} - d\hat{z})/r_1$  and  $\hat{r}_2 = (r\hat{r} + d\hat{z})/r_2$ .

Since  $\hat{r}_1 \neq \hat{r}_2 \neq \hat{r}$  (especially in the “near-field” region), the above expression for the total / resultant complex **vector** particle velocity  $\vec{u}_{tot}(\vec{r}, t)$  is not easy to evaluate, analytically. However, note that it does simplify considerably in the “far”-field region, where  $\hat{r}_1 \approx \hat{r}_2 \approx \hat{r}$  and  $r_1 \approx r_2 \approx r \gg d$ .

It is quite clear from the above formulae for  $\tilde{p}_{tot}(\vec{r}, t)$  and  $\vec{u}_{tot}(\vec{r}, t)$  {as well as from previous P406 lectures on sound interference effects with 2 (or more) sources} that interference effects will indeed manifest themselves here in this situation, albeit in a much more complicated manner....

However, the nature of this problem is such that all of the above quantities that we have calculated analytically e.g. for the various simpler sound sources can be also easily coded up on a computer, e.g. using MATLAB, Mathematica or e.g. a C/C++ based-program coupled to a graphics software package for plots, not just for them, but for this problem as well...

Computational calculations can also be done for {arbitrarily} higher-order acoustic multipoles – e.g. linear {and/or crossed (aka lateral)} quadrupoles (the tuning fork is an example of a linear quadrupole), sextupoles, octupoles, hexadecapoles, arbitrary linear 1-D acoustic arrays, 2-D/3-D acoustic arrays, all using the principle of linear superposition for  $N$  monopole sound sources... an arbitrary sound source can always be decomposed into a linear combination of acoustic multipoles, with suitably chosen complex coefficients – the multipole strengths...

Some very nice animation demos of pressure fields for monopole, dipole, quadrupole... sound sources exist e.g. at Prof. Dan Russell’s website:

<http://www.acs.psu.edu/drussell/Demos/rad2/mdq.html>